1	JANET NAPOLITANO	
2	Attorney General Firm No. 14000	
3	ROBERTO PULVER State Bar No. 018885	
4	Assistant Attorney General 1275 W. Washington, CIV/LES	
5	Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2926 Telephone: (602) 542-7026	
6	Fax: (602) 364-3202 Attorneys for the State	
7		RIZONA MEDICAL BOARD
8	DEFURE THE A	RIZONA MEDICAL BUARD
•	In the Matter of:	CASE NO. 02F-14411-N
9	ALLEN L. BROWNE, M.D.	CONSENT AGREEME ORDER FOR SURREN

Holder of License No. 14411 For the Practice of Medicine

In the State of Arizona.

CASE NO. 02F-14411-MDX

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND ORDER FOR SURRENDER OF

Respondent.

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

11

12

CONSENT AGREEMENT

RECITALS

In the interest of a prompt and judicious settlement of this case, consistent with the public interest, statutory requirements and responsibilities of the Arizona Medical Board ("Board"), and under A.R.S. §§ 32-1401 et seq., and 41-1092.07(F)(5), Allen L. Browne, M.D., holder of license number 14411 to practice allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona ("Respondent"), and the Board enter into the following Recitals, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as the final disposition of this matter.

Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement as set forth herein, and has had the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney or has waved the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney. Respondent voluntarily enters into this Consent Agreement for the purpose of avoiding the expense and uncertainty of an administrative hearing.

- 2. Respondent understands that he has a right to a public administrative hearing concerning each allegation set forth in the above-captioned matter, at which administrative hearing he could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent freely and voluntarily relinquishes all rights to such an administrative hearing, as well as all rights of rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial review or any other administrative and/or judicial action, concerning the matters set forth herein.
 - 3. Respondent agrees that the Board may adopt this Consent Agreement or any part of this agreement, under A.R.S. § 32-1451(F). Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement or any part of the agreement may be considered in any future disciplinary action against him.
 - 4. Respondent understands this Consent Agreement deals with Board Investigation Case No. MD-01-0862 involving allegations of unprofessional conduct against Respondent. The investigation into these allegations against Respondent shall be concluded upon the Board's adoption of this Consent Agreement.
 - 5. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding. Respondent also understands that acceptance of this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision or officer of this state from instituting any other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.
 - 6. All admissions made by Respondent in this Consent Agreement are made solely for the final disposition of this matter, and any related administrative proceedings or

8

6

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22.

23

24 25

26

civil litigation involving the Board and Respondent. Therefore, any admissions made by Respondent in this Consent Agreement are not intended or made for any other use, such as in the context of another regulatory agency proceeding, or civil or criminal proceeding, whether in the State of Arizona or any other state or federal court.

- 7. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that, upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning this document to the Board's Executive Director, Respondent may not revoke his acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make any modifications to the document, regardless of whether the Consent Agreement has been issued by the Executive <u>Director.</u> Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually approved by the parties in writing.
- 8. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement shall not become effective unless and until adopted by the Board and signed by its Executive Director or designee.
- 9. Respondent understands and agrees that if the Board does not adopt this Consent Agreement, he will not assert as a defense that the Board's consideration of this Consent Agreement constitutes bias, prejudice, prejudgment or other similar defense.
- 10. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement is a public record that may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board, and shall be reported as required by law to the National Practitioner Data Bank and the Healthcare Integrity and Protection Data Bank.
- 11. Respondent understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement constitutes unprofessional conduct under A.R.S. § 32-1401(24)(r)([v]iolating a formal order, probation, consent agreement or stipulation issued or entered into by the board or its executive director under the provisions of this chapter) and shall result in disciplinary action under A.R.S. § 32-1451 et seq.

DATED: 11/30/2002

FINDINGS OF FACT

By stipulation of the parties, this Consent Agreement is entered into for final disposition of the matters herein. Respondent admits to the following Findings of Fact:

- 1. On October 18, 2001, Drug Enforcement Administration Agent Goldsmith contacted the Board and provided information that Respondent was prescribing controlled substances and medications via the internet. Furthermore, that these controlled substances and medications were being filled by pharmacies in Arizona, California and Nevada. The Board opened an investigation into the matter.
- 2. The Board conducted a pharmacy survey of Respondent's internet prescribing and discovered he had issued or authorized 2,568 prescriptions (199,788 dosage units) to about 1,826 internet consumers between May 2001 and November 2001.
- 3. The Board's investigation disclosed that Respondent prescribed Alprazolam, Carisprodol, Codeine, Diazepam, Hydrocodone, Lorazepam, Triazolam, Zolpidem Tartrate, and other controlled substances and medications to internet consumers.
- 4. On January 8, 2002, the Board conducted an investigational interview with Respondent, under oath, about his internet prescribing. At the interview, Respondent acknowledged that the Board had previously informed him of the purpose and subject matter of the interview. Respondent intelligently, knowingly, and voluntarily waived his right to have an attorney present during the interview.
- 5. Respondent admitted that he closed his medical practice (obstetrics and gynecology) on March 1, 2000.
- 6. Respondent admitted that he worked for an internet company called Qualitycare.md, which was located in an auto parts store in Mesa, Arizona.
- 7. Respondent admitted that another allopathic physician informed him that Qualitycare.md was looking for a medical consultant. During the interview, the Board investigators asked Respondent to name the physician who introduced him to

- 8. The investigators informed Respondent that the unnamed physician who introduced Respondent to Qualitycare.md may have committed unprofessional conduct if he was involved with Qualitycare.md and the Board had to investigate this. The investigators read A.R.S. § 32-1401(24)(dd) to Respondent, which states that it is unprofessional conduct for a physician to withhold information from a Board investigator when legally requested. For a second time, the investigators asked Respondent to name the physician who introduced him to Qualitycare.md. Again, Respondent refused to answer.
- 9. Respondent admitted Qualitycare md hired him as a medical consultant. Respondent's medical consultant duties were to review the internet consumers' medical questionnaires provided to them by Qualitycare.md; telephonically consult with the consumers about their medical problems; and determine whether prescriptions should be issued to the consumers.
- 10. Respondent admitted that the internet consumers commonly fell into three categories those suffering from: a) chronic pain problems; b) work related or auto accident injuries; and c) anxiety and panic disorders.
- 11. Respondent stated that his training as an obstetrician- gynecologist qualified him to treat chronic pain problems and anxiety or panic disorders.
- 12. The 56th Edition of the Physicians' Desk Reference states Alprazolam, Carisprodol, Lorazepam, and Triazolam medications that Respondent prescribed are antianxiety or hypnotic medications. The Desk Reference cautions that these medications combined with alcohol, central nervous system depressants or psychotropic drugs can be addictive. Moreover, continual physician monitoring is required when these drugs are dispensed to individuals with a history of addiction or alcoholism because they have a greater propensity to become addicted.
 - 13. Respondent admitted he failed to verify, by not performing physical

examinations on any consumers or reviewing their medical records, the truthfulness and accuracy of any consumers self-reported medical histories, injuries or symptoms - yet he continued to prescribe controlled substances and medications to them. Respondent's treatment of these internet consumers deviated from the acceptable standard of care and endangered the health and safety of these consumers.

- 14. Respondent admitted there was a possibility that some internet consumers were lying to him to obtain prescription medication. Respondent further admitted that he had no safeguard mechanism to prevent this type of deception from occurring.
- 15. Respondent admitted that Qualitycare.md retained all the medical questionnaires from the internet consumers and that these records are not available to him because Qualitycare.md is out of business. Respondent further admitted that he never retained a physical copy of any of the prescription orders or telephonic consult notes. Consequently, he is unable to provide any medical information about any consumer. Respondent admitted he maintained inadequate medical records on the consumers because he had no medical records.
- 16. Respondent admitted that his consults generally lasted 10 minutes per internet consumer, and that he consulted about 17 consumers per day from Monday through Friday. Respondent admitted that he worked for Qualitycare.md from mid-May 2001 to early September 2001.
- 17. Respondent admitted Qualitycare.md charged \$100.00 per consult to each internet consumer who was issued a prescription and purchased the prescribed medication from approved Qualitycare.md pharmacies. Respondent received \$20.00 from Qualitycare.md for each consult. Respondent further admitted that he could only prescribe medication from a prescription list approved by Qualitycare.md.
- 18. The Board's investigation disclosed Respondent prescribed to 1,826 consumers and he was paid \$20.00 per consumer. Consequently, Qualitycare.md, at a

minimum, paid Respondent \$36,520.00 for his services.

- 19. Respondent admitted the Board had placed his medical license on probation. The Board's public website, www.azmdboard.org, discloses that Respondent's medical license is on lifetime probation.
 - 20. The parties waive all further findings of fact.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter hereof and over Allen L. Browne, M.D., holder of License No. 14411, for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 2. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct under A.R.S. § 32-1401(24)(e) "Failing or refuse to maintain adequate records on a patient."
- 3. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct under A.R.S. § 32-1401(24)(q) "Any conduct or practice which is or might be harmful or dangerous to the health of the patient or the public."
- 4. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct under A.R.S. § 32-1401(24)(dd) "Failing to furnish information in a timely manner to the board or its investigators or representatives if legally requested by the board."
- 5. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct under A.R.S. § 32-1401(24)(ss) "Prescribing, dispensing or furnishing a prescription medication or a prescription-only device as defined in section 32-1901 to a person unless the licensee first conducts a physical examination of that person or has previously established a doctor-patient relationship. This subdivision does not apply to: (i) A physician who provides temporary patient supervision on behalf of the patient's regular treating licensed healthcare professional. (ii) Emergency medical situations defined in section 41-1831. (iii) Prescriptions written to prepare a patient for a medical examination."

ORDER

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and under the authority granted to the Board by A.R.S. §§ 41-1092.07(F)(5) and 32-1451(T), it is hereby ordered that:

1. License No. 14411, which was issued to Respondent for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona, shall be deemed SURRENDERED, upon adoption of this Consent Agreement by the Board; and Respondent shall immediately return License No. 14411 to the Board.

DATED AND EFFECTIVE this 12th day of february



BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

Executive Director

1	COPY of the foregoing mailed by	
2	U.S. Certified Mail this 13th day of 15th day of 2002 to:	
3	Allen L. Browne, M.D. Post Office Box 21797	
4	Mesa, Arizona 85277-1796 Respondent	
5		
6	COPY of the foregoing mailed this 13th day of TERMAN, 2002, to:	
7	Roberto Pulver Assistant Attorney General 1275 W. Washington, CIV/LES	
8		
9	Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Attorney for the State	
10	S. 9. 1.	
11	Board Operation	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
ا 7		
18		
9		
20		
21		
22		
23		